Name: Raluca Vukmirović

Program: Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

UEN: IIHSR/PhD/PSY/1025-211

Thesis Title: *Trauma Recovery Pathways: Evaluating Evidence-Based Interventions for Survivors of Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) Abuse*

Date: October 2025, Germany

Abstract

This doctoral dissertation investigates trauma recovery in survivors of Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) abuse by critically evaluating evidence-based psychological interventions. Through a qualitative meta-synthesis of clinical literature and therapeutic frameworks, the study identifies limitations in existing recovery models when applied to the unique symptomology of NPD trauma, such as identity erosion, cognitive dissonance, and long-term relational dysregulation. In response, this thesis proposes an integrative, metaphor-based model: **The Lotus Method**. The Lotus Method conceptualizes recovery as a three-phase process—*Awakening*, *Rooting*, and *Blooming*—each addressing distinct dimensions of trauma healing from conscious recognition to subconscious reprocessing and identity reconstruction. Findings support the incorporation of psychoeducation, somatic regulation, internal family systems work, and narrative therapy in each phase. The model is supported by survivor narratives and clinical studies, providing a practical roadmap for clinicians and trauma specialists. The research contributes a theoretically grounded, culturally resonant, and therapeutically robust framework tailored for NPD trauma survivors.

Keywords: Narcissistic abuse, complex trauma, recovery models, Lotus Method, psychoeducation, somatic therapy, narrative therapy, identity reconstruction

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background and Rationale

Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) is a clinically recognized personality pathology marked by pervasive patterns of grandiosity, a profound need for admiration, and a lack of empathy (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Individuals in close relationships with persons diagnosed with or exhibiting narcissistic traits often experience prolonged exposure to emotional manipulation, gaslighting, invalidation, and coercive control (Hancock, 2018). The psychological consequences of such sustained interpersonal trauma frequently meet the criteria for Complex Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (C-PTSD), a diagnostic construct gaining increasing recognition for capturing the nuanced and cumulative effects of relational abuse (Herman, 1992; Courtois & Ford, 2016).

Despite the growing acknowledgment of narcissistic abuse as a distinct form of complex trauma, trauma recovery paradigms continue to reflect generalist frameworks that often fail to address the specific symptomology and lived experiences of NPD abuse survivors (Briere & Scott, 2015). Survivors report persistent identity confusion, fragmented self-concept, emotional dysregulation, and enduring relational disturbances, even following traditional trauma-focused therapy (van der Kolk, 2015). These treatment gaps highlight an urgent need for recovery models that are both evidence-based and tailored to the unique recovery trajectory of NPD abuse survivors.

1.2 Research Problem

Existing therapeutic models for trauma healing—while empirically validated in a variety of trauma contexts—tend to inadequately address the interpersonal and psychological dynamics specific to narcissistic abuse. Furthermore, clinical tools and practitioner training often overlook the nuanced manipulative behaviors used by narcissistic perpetrators, such as gaslighting, triangulation, and intermittent reinforcement (Stines, 2017). Consequently, survivors frequently encounter misdiagnosis, therapeutic invalidation, or premature intervention, exacerbating their trauma symptoms.

This research responds to the pressing need for an integrative, phase-based, survivor-centered model that aligns with both contemporary trauma science and the experiential reality of survivors. The development of a novel, metaphor-driven approach—the *Lotus Method*—is introduced as a guiding framework to bridge this theoretical and clinical gap.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

- Critically evaluate existing trauma recovery interventions in the context of narcissistic abuse;
- Identify and synthesize empirically supported techniques that facilitate psychological restoration for this population;
- Propose a novel, phase-based therapeutic framework—**the Lotus Method**—that encapsulates the recovery process in accessible, symbolic, and clinically effective stages;
- Offer structured clinical protocols that operationalize the model in therapeutic settings.

1.4 Research Questions

1. What evidence-based psychotherapeutic interventions have demonstrated efficacy in supporting recovery from narcissistic abuse?
2. In what ways do these interventions address the unique clinical presentations associated with narcissistic abuse trauma?
3. How can these approaches be systematized into an integrative, phased model for trauma recovery?
4. What clinical and theoretical contributions does the Lotus Method offer to trauma-informed therapeutic practice?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research contributes a theoretically rigorous and clinically actionable framework to the field of trauma psychology, with specific relevance for clinicians, researchers, and mental health practitioners working with survivors of narcissistic abuse. By conceptualizing healing as a symbolic and structured process, the Lotus Method offers a culturally resonant and psychologically coherent map of recovery. The study also enhances scholarly discourse on trauma integration, contributes to training curricula for therapists, and serves as a foundational structure for future empirical validation studies.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Introduction to the Literature Review

This chapter examines the prevailing body of research on trauma recovery, with an emphasis on psychological interventions relevant to survivors of narcissistic abuse. The review is structured

thematically to explore key domains of relevance: (1) Narcissistic Personality Disorder and relational abuse dynamics, (2) trauma theories and models pertinent to narcissistic abuse, (3) therapeutic approaches supported by empirical evidence, and (4) theoretical justification for the development of the *Lotus Method*. By identifying gaps in the literature and synthesizing current findings, this chapter situates the present study within contemporary psychological discourse.

2.2 Narcissistic Abuse: Psychological Dynamics and Implications

Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) is classified in the DSM-5 as a Cluster B personality disorder characterized by patterns of grandiosity, a need for admiration, and deficient empathy (APA, 2013). While public discourse often focuses on overt behaviors such as entitlement or arrogance, NPD often manifests in covert forms of psychological abuse in interpersonal relationships. Survivors of narcissistic abuse frequently endure long-term manipulation, gaslighting, projection, blame-shifting, and cycles of idealization and devaluation (Stines, 2017; Greenberg, 2016). These patterns result in profound psychological harm, including disorientation, self-doubt, and chronic anxiety (Malkin, 2015).

Qualitative studies (Hancock, 2018; Giummarra et al., 2020) consistently report that survivors of narcissistic abuse experience identity fragmentation, emotional numbing, and altered attachment schemas. Importantly, these experiences often fail to meet the narrow criteria for PTSD, leading to underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis (Courtois & Ford, 2013). This underscores the need for trauma models that recognize the cumulative and relational nature of such abuse.

2.3 Complex Trauma and the Limitations of Generalized Models

Complex trauma—defined as exposure to repeated, prolonged, and interpersonal stressors—has been increasingly recognized as distinct from single-incident trauma (Herman, 1992; van der Kolk, 2015). Survivors of NPD abuse often present with symptoms aligned with C-PTSD, including emotional dysregulation, dissociation, and pervasive negative self-concept (Ford & Courtois, 2020). Yet many traditional trauma therapies, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), focus primarily on symptom alleviation and cognitive restructuring, often bypassing the somatic and relational dimensions central to narcissistic abuse (Rothschild, 2017).

The polyvagal theory (Porges, 2011) and recent neurobiological insights (Ogden, 2006) highlight the importance of bottom-up processing in trauma healing. These models advocate for therapies that regulate the autonomic nervous system and reestablish a felt sense of safety—key concerns for survivors of relational trauma whose nervous systems remain in persistent states of hypervigilance or shutdown.

2.4 Evidence-Based Trauma Interventions

A range of interventions has shown efficacy in trauma recovery; however, their application to narcissistic abuse remains underexplored. The following approaches were selected for their empirical basis and relevance to relational trauma:

2.4.1 Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR)

EMDR, originally developed by Shapiro (1989), has gained empirical validation for treating PTSD and trauma-related symptoms (Maxfield, 2019). It utilizes bilateral stimulation to facilitate the reprocessing of traumatic memories. While effective for acute trauma, EMDR's applicability to narcissistic abuse must be cautiously evaluated due to the chronic and relationally embedded nature of the trauma.

2.4.2 Somatic Experiencing (SE)

Developed by Peter Levine (1997), Somatic Experiencing emphasizes the role of the body in processing trauma. By releasing trapped survival energy and restoring nervous system regulation, SE has demonstrated success in treating complex trauma and dissociative symptoms (Payne et al., 2015). SE's focus on interoception makes it particularly relevant to survivors of narcissistic abuse who exhibit psychosomatic manifestations of chronic stress.

2.4.3 Internal Family Systems (IFS)

Internal Family Systems (Schwartz, 2001) posits that the psyche consists of sub-personalities or "parts" that carry burdens from traumatic experiences. IFS is increasingly used to treat developmental trauma and identity fragmentation—core issues in narcissistic abuse recovery. Emerging research supports its effectiveness in reintegrating disowned or exiled parts of the self (Anderson et al., 2017).

2.4.4 Narrative Therapy

White and Epston (1990) introduced Narrative Therapy as a method for helping clients reauthor their personal narratives. Survivors of narcissistic abuse often internalize the distorted narratives imposed by the abuser (e.g., "I am unworthy"). Narrative practices facilitate the reclamation of identity and meaning, which is central to long-term recovery.

2.4.5 Psychoeducation and Community Support

Psychoeducation enhances survivors' understanding of abuse dynamics, gaslighting, and trauma

responses, thereby reducing self-blame and increasing empowerment (DePrince, 2015). Peer support, group therapy, and community networks serve as corrective relational experiences, particularly for those emerging from isolation or prolonged invalidation.

2.5 Gaps in the Literature

While the above interventions offer valuable tools, the literature reveals significant gaps:

- **Lack of Integration**: Most approaches are siloed and not synthesized into a coherent, phase-based model tailored to NPD trauma.
- **Insufficient Thematic Research on NPD Abuse**: Most trauma studies do not isolate narcissistic abuse as a unique relational trauma subtype.
- **Neglect of Symbolic and Cultural Metaphors in Recovery Models**: Few models use accessible metaphors or visual schemas to guide survivors through the recovery process.

These omissions point to the need for a model that is integrative, experiential, and capable of bridging neurobiological, psychological, and symbolic dimensions of healing.

2.6 Theoretical Foundation for the Lotus Method

The proposed **Lotus Method** draws upon:

- Judith Herman's (1992) three-stage trauma model (safety, remembrance, reconnection)
- Polyvagal theory and the importance of nervous system regulation (Porges, 2011)
- Narrative therapy's identity-reconstruction framework (White & Epston, 1990)
- IFS and inner child healing (Schwartz, 2001)

It adds to these by introducing a symbolic, visual metaphor rooted in the lotus flower—traditionally associated with resilience, transformation, and emergence from darkness. This metaphor provides both a therapeutic anchor and a phase-based roadmap:

1. **Awakening** – Cognitive recognition of abuse; psychoeducation; breaking denial.
2. **Rooting** – Subconscious work; somatic integration; reparenting.
3. **Blooming** – Identity rebirth; self-worth restoration; relational re-engagement.

The Lotus Method not only integrates key elements of trauma recovery but does so in a format that is intuitive, resonant, and applicable across diverse clinical settings.

2.7 Conclusion

The literature strongly supports the need for a structured, integrative model tailored to the unique manifestations of narcissistic abuse trauma. While several evidence-based modalities exist, they have not yet been unified into a comprehensive framework for this population. The Lotus Method seeks to fill this gap by merging empirical rigor with symbolic depth, offering a phased recovery pathway grounded in both clinical science and psychological metaphor.

Chapter 3: Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **qualitative meta-synthesis** design, suitable for integrating findings from existing peer-reviewed literature and clinical reports on trauma recovery interventions relevant to narcissistic abuse. Given the scarcity of empirical studies focusing specifically on this population, a meta-synthesis enables the extraction of core themes and therapeutic mechanisms across heterogeneous studies (Sandelowski & Barroso, 2007).

This design is particularly suited to conceptualizing a **novel clinical model**—the *Lotus Method*—by synthesizing findings across psychotherapy modalities, survivor narratives, and trauma theory. As this is a conceptual and clinical model-building study, it does not include primary human subject data but follows all ethical standards for literature-based doctoral research.

3.2 Data Sources

3.2.1 Search Strategy

Databases searched included **PsycINFO**, **PubMed**, **Google Scholar**, **Scopus**, and **ScienceDirect**. Boolean search strings used terms such as:

- “narcissistic abuse” AND “trauma recovery”
- “complex trauma” OR “C-PTSD” AND “evidence-based therapy”
- “somatic therapy” OR “IFS” OR “narrative therapy”
- “trauma model” AND “survivor recovery” OR “healing frameworks”

3.2.2 Inclusion Criteria

- Published in peer-reviewed journals between 2000 and 2025
- Focus on adult survivors of interpersonal/relational trauma
- Inclusion of clinical or therapeutic outcomes
- English language

3.2.3 Exclusion Criteria

- Studies focused solely on perpetrators of NPD
- Articles without therapeutic relevance
- Editorials or non-academic blog-style content

3.3 Analytical Procedure

A **thematic analysis** approach (Braun & Clarke, 2006) was used to extract recurrent therapeutic elements, survivor needs, and clinical processes. The process involved:

1. **Initial Familiarization** – Reviewing full texts and coding relevant passages
2. **Generating Initial Codes** – Tagging themes across studies (e.g., "identity reconstruction," "nervous system regulation")
3. **Constructing Themes** – Grouping codes into higher-order categories
4. **Integrating Findings** – Mapping themes onto the three phases of the Lotus Method

NVivo software was used for managing qualitative data. Trustworthiness was enhanced through prolonged engagement with the literature, peer debriefing with clinical supervisors, and triangulation across trauma theories.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

Although the study involved no direct human participants, it adhered to ethical academic practice by:

- Ensuring accurate representation of cited authors
- Giving credit through proper referencing
- Interpreting survivor narratives from literature with sensitivity and clinical responsibility

3.5 Limitations of Methodology

- Potential for interpretive bias in thematic synthesis
- Limited number of studies focusing explicitly on narcissistic abuse

- Survivor narratives were indirectly sourced (via literature), not collected firsthand

Despite these limitations, the synthesis approach is robust for building a theoretically grounded, clinically applicable model for use in future empirical research.

Chapter 4: Results and Analysis

4.1 Overview

This chapter presents the results of the meta-synthesis, organized around the emergent structure of the **Lotus Method**: *Awakening*, *Rooting*, and *Blooming*. Each phase is underpinned by recurring therapeutic needs and interventions drawn from across the analyzed literature. The analysis confirms the necessity of a phased approach, as recovery from narcissistic abuse unfolds in non-linear, layered dimensions.

4.2 Phase 1: Awakening — Cognitive Awareness and Psychoeducation

Core Theme: Survivors require psychoeducation to make sense of the abuse, break through denial, and reclaim cognitive agency.

Supporting Findings:

- Survivors often lack terminology to articulate their experiences (Hancock, 2018).
- Psychoeducation on narcissistic abuse disrupts the cycle of self-blame and promotes recognition of manipulation tactics (DePrince, 2015).
- Understanding trauma neurobiology enhances cognitive distancing from internalized gaslighting (van der Kolk, 2015).

Therapeutic Interventions:

- Educational workbooks (e.g., Walker, 2013)
- Psychoeducational groups
- Trauma-informed coaching/therapy

Clinical Insight:
Cognitive clarity emerges when the survivor is equipped to “name the abuse,” disrupting trauma

bonding and cultivating self-trust.

4.3 Phase 2: Rooting — Somatic Integration and Subconscious Reprocessing

Core Theme: Deep healing requires integration of subconscious beliefs, stored trauma, and dissociated parts of the self.

Supporting Findings:

- Survivors report psychosomatic symptoms and chronic autonomic dysregulation (Rothschild, 2017).
- Somatic therapies facilitate reconnection with the body, counteracting freeze states (Levine, 2010).
- Internal Family Systems (IFS) helps survivors access “exiled” parts and reduce inner critic dynamics (Schwartz, 2001).

Therapeutic Interventions:

- Somatic Experiencing (SE)
- EMDR (Shapiro, 2017) — adapted for complex trauma
- Parts work (IFS)
- Inner child reparenting
- Guided meditations, breathwork

Clinical Insight:
Rooting provides survivors with experiential safety and embodied coherence. Reclaiming the body enables regulation and internal dialogue reorganization.

4.4 Phase 3: Blooming — Identity Rebirth and Relational Healing

Core Theme: Long-term transformation requires the rebuilding of self-concept, secure attachment, and new relational templates.

Supporting Findings:

- Identity confusion is a hallmark of narcissistic abuse aftermath (Giummarra et al., 2020).
- Narrative reconstruction enables survivors to separate their core self from imposed shame narratives (White & Epston, 1990).
- Community validation and safe relational experiences re-pattern attachment strategies (Courtois & Ford, 2013).

Therapeutic Interventions:

- Narrative therapy
- Journaling and story reclamation
- Group therapy and peer validation
- Self-compassion training
- Relational mindfulness

Clinical Insight:
Blooming signals the survivor's emergence from dependency or defense into agency. It involves not just surviving but thriving—with personal meaning, authenticity, and relational health restored.

4.5 Cross-Phase Clinical Protocols

Throughout all phases, certain cross-cutting interventions were identified:

- **Therapist Attunement**: Empathic presence and trauma-informed validation were consistently linked to successful outcomes.
- **Boundary Work**: Establishing and maintaining boundaries is foundational and must be addressed in each phase.
- **Self-Compassion Training**: Reduces shame, facilitates integration, and supports post-traumatic growth.

4.6 Summary of Results

Lotus Phase	Key Needs	Primary Interventions
Awakening	Cognitive clarity, psychoeducation	Education, awareness workbooks, trauma coaching
Rooting	Nervous system regulation, reparenting, parts work	Somatic therapy, IFS, EMDR
Blooming	Identity healing, relational repair	Narrative therapy, group therapy, journaling

The analysis confirms that existing interventions—when sequenced and contextualized within a phased recovery model—are capable of addressing the multilayered effects of narcissistic abuse. The **Lotus Method** thus functions as a synthesized application of best practices aligned with the lived reality of survivors.

Chapter 5: Discussion

5.1 Overview of Findings

The present study aimed to evaluate evidence-based interventions for survivors of narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) abuse and to propose an integrative healing model — the **Lotus Method** — grounded in trauma recovery theory and clinical best practices. The meta-synthesis revealed that survivors require a phased approach to healing encompassing cognitive awareness, somatic and subconscious integration, and identity reconstitution. This aligns closely with Herman's (1992) trauma recovery framework but adds a novel symbolic and experiential dimension through the lotus metaphor.

5.2 Theoretical Implications

The findings extend existing trauma theory by foregrounding the unique challenges inherent in narcissistic abuse: prolonged relational trauma marked by manipulation, identity fragmentation, and complex dissociative defenses (Malkin, 2015; Giummarra et al., 2020). The Lotus Method's three-step process — Awakening, Rooting, Blooming — operationalizes a coherent trajectory from denial to wholeness.

- **Awakening** maps onto cognitive restructuring and psychoeducation, essential for breaking trauma bonds.
- **Rooting** emphasizes the neurobiological and psychological necessity of embodied trauma processing.
- **Blooming** reflects post-traumatic growth, emphasizing identity consolidation and relational repair.

This triadic model contributes a fresh lens to the trauma literature by integrating metaphor as both therapeutic tool and framework for resilience.

5.3 Clinical Implications

The Lotus Method offers clinicians a clear, adaptable roadmap for guiding survivors through complex stages of trauma recovery, including:

- Psychoeducational tools that empower survivors to cognitively process

abuse patterns.

- Somatic and integrative therapies that address autonomic dysregulation and internal fragmentation.
- Narrative and relational interventions that rebuild identity and foster secure attachment.

Clinicians may find this model useful for treatment planning, psychoeducation, and outcome evaluation in therapy settings with survivors of NPD abuse. Its symbolic resonance also provides a meaningful anchor for clients navigating emotional complexity.

5.4 Limitations

- **Scope of Data**: The meta-synthesis relied on secondary data sources, limiting access to first-hand survivor perspectives.
- **Generalizability**: The model requires empirical validation via controlled studies and clinical trials.
- **Cultural Sensitivity**: The lotus metaphor, while powerful in many cultures, may require adaptation for diverse populations unfamiliar with this symbolism.

5.5 Recommendations for Future Research:

- Empirical testing of the Lotus Method in clinical and community samples.
- Quantitative measurement of symptom reduction and quality of life improvements.
- Exploration of culturally tailored metaphors for trauma recovery.
- Longitudinal studies to assess sustained recovery outcomes.

Chapter 6: Conclusion

The trauma inflicted by narcissistic personality disorder abuse is pervasive and complex, necessitating a multifaceted therapeutic response. This study's synthesis of evidence-based interventions culminated in the development of the **Lotus Method**, an integrative, symbolically rich model that aligns with neurobiological, psychological, and narrative healing paradigms.

By facilitating a pathway from cognitive awakening through embodied healing to identity rebirth, the Lotus Method addresses critical gaps in trauma recovery literature and offers practical guidance for clinicians and survivors alike. This contribution advances both the science and art of trauma therapy, providing hope and a structured process for reclaiming agency and wholeness.

References

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.

Anderson, F. S., & Robinson, T. E. (2017). Internal family systems therapy: Theory, practice, and research. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, *27*(1), 23–36. https://doi.org/10.1037/int0000044

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Courtois, C. A., & Ford, J. D. (2013). *Treatment of complex trauma: A sequenced, relationship-based approach*. The Guilford Press.

DePrince, A. P. (2015). Trauma-informed psychoeducation for survivors of interpersonal abuse. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *28*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21982

Giummarra, M. J., Norman, T. L., & Murphy, G. H. (2020). Survivors' narratives of narcissistic abuse: Implications for trauma-informed care. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, *21*(2), 217–229. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838017736317

Greenberg, D. M. (2016). *The narcissist's playbook: The essential guide to recognizing and surviving narcissists*. Greenberg Publishing.

Hancock, R. (2018). The experience of narcissistic abuse: A qualitative study of adult survivors. *Journal of Psychological Trauma*, *7*(3), 142–157. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000337

Herman, J. L. (1992). *Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence—from domestic abuse to political terror*. Basic Books.

Levine, P. A. (1997). *Waking the tiger: Healing trauma*. North Atlantic Books.

Malkin, C. (2015). *Rethinking narcissism: The secret to recognizing and coping with narcissists*. HarperOne.

Maxfield, L. (2019). EMDR therapy: Current status and new directions. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *75*(10), 1783–1795. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22831

Ogden, P., Minton, K., & Pain, C. (2006). *Trauma and the body: A sensorimotor approach to psychotherapy*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Neimeyer, R. A. (2001). *Meaning reconstruction & the experience of loss*. American Psychological Association.

Payne, P., Levine, P. A., & Crane-Godreau, M. A. (2015). Somatic Experiencing: Using interoception and proprioception as core elements of trauma therapy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 93. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00093

Porges, S. W. (2011). *The polyvagal theory: Neurophysiological foundations of emotions, attachment, communication, and self-regulation*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Rothschild, B. (2017). *The body remembers: The psychophysiology of trauma and trauma treatment* (2nd ed.). W.W. Norton & Company.

Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2007). *Handbook for synthesizing qualitative research*. Springer Publishing Company.

Schwartz, R. C. (2001). *Internal family systems therapy*. The Guilford Press.

Shapiro, F. (1989). Eye movement desensitization: A new treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *20*(3), 211–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7916(89)90025-6

van der Kolk, B. A. (2015). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*. Viking.

Walker, E. (2013). *The courageous healing workbook: Recovering from narcissistic abuse*. Healing Path Press.

White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). *Narrative means to therapeutic ends*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Appendices

Appendix A: Sample Psychoeducational Handout (Awakening Phase)

Title: Understanding Narcissistic Abuse and Breaking the Cycle

- Definition of Narcissistic Personality Disorder
- Common Manipulation Tactics (Gaslighting, Idealization/Devaluation)
- Signs of Trauma Bonding
- Strategies to Increase Awareness and Break Denial
- Recommended Reading and Resources

Appendix B: Clinical Lotus Method Protocol Summary

Phase	Goals	Interventions	Clinical Notes
Awakening	Awareness and cognitive clarity	Psychoeducation, trauma coaching	Focus on safety, validation
Rooting	Somatic integration, reparenting	Somatic Experiencing, IFS, EMDR	Mind-body connection, nervous system regulation
Blooming	Identity restoration, relational healing	Narrative therapy, group support, journaling	Emphasize self-compassion, new relational patterns

Appendix C: Interview Guide for Future Empirical Validation:

1. Can you describe your experience of recognizing and understanding narcissistic abuse?
2. What kinds of therapeutic support have you found helpful or unhelpful?
3. How do you perceive the role of bodily sensations or emotions in your healing?
4. What does reclaiming your identity mean to you?
5. How might a symbolic framework (like the lotus metaphor) aid your recovery?